

FW 3752
AF

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Applicant:


Herbert URAM

Serial No.: 09/901,717

Filed: July 11, 2001

For: Method and System for Hurricane Control

Examiner: Steven J. Ganey



§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Group Art Unit: 3752

Attorney
Docket:

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Reconsideration is respectfully requested of the Final Official Action mailed October 6, 2004.

In the above Final Official Action, the Examiner repeated the rejection of all the claims (Claims 1 – 16) under 35 USC 101 as “lacking in credible utility”, and also repeated the rejection of all the claims under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to meet the “enabling requirement”. In addition, the Examiner objected to language introduced in the previous amendment to the specification under 35 USC 132 “because it introduces new matter into the disclosure”, and rejected Claims 1- 10 under 35 USC 112, on the same grounds.

With respect to Applicant’s previously-submitted arguments regarding the rejections under 35 USC 101 and 112, the Examiner maintained (paragraph bridging pages 5 and 6):